

Hearing Session 7: COMMUNITY FACILITIES, LEISURE AND TOURISM

Issues & Matters Agenda

1 Welcome and introductory remarks

2 Community facility allocations (policy CF1)

- Should site HG1.68 St Ilans Comprehensive, Caerphilly be re-used for education purposes, and allocated accordingly?
- Do the allocations for the re-building of Cwm Ifor Primary, Hendre Junior and St James Primary Schools, Caerphilly (CF 1.25, CF 1.27, CF 1.29) involve the use of greenfield land? If so, does this render the Plan unsound?
- Is the proposal to remodel the former library building at Brooklands, Risca as a Adult and Youth Education Centre (CF 1.38) founded on a robust evidence base?
- Does allocation CF 1.16 (GP surgery at Oakfield Street Ystrad Mynach) render the Plan unsound due to car parking implications?
- Is allocation CF 1.9 proposed fire station, land south of Aberbargoed Plateau, Aberbargoed) founded on a robust evidence base? Does the allocation render the Plan unsound?
- Does allocation CF 1.1 extension to Rhymney cemetery render the Plan unsound?
- Is allocation CF1.12 cemetery eastern extension at Gelligaer sound (proximity to area of scheduled ancient monument Roman remains?)?

3 Leisure allocations (policies LE 1 – LE 5)

- Should site E31 land at Pendinas Avenue Croespenmaen be protected for informal recreation and community uses under policy LE 5? Would the allocation be realistic and deliverable? Is the Plan unsound as a result of the site's non-allocation?
- Should site LE99.16 old landfill site at Hafodyrynys be allocated for formal leisure purposes under policy LE 4? Would the allocation be realistic and deliverable? Is the Plan unsound as a result of the site's non-allocation?
- Should sites: LE99.32 Ness Tar site Caerphilly;
HG1.72 Caerphilly Miners Hospital;
LE99.26 part of Venosa Trading Estate HG1.66;

Alwyn Nixon B Sc (Hons), MRTPI - Planning Inspector
Barbara Prosser - Programme Officer

Caerphilly County Borough Local Development Plan Examination

LE99.26 Pontypandy Industrial Estate HG1.67;

be protected for informal recreation and community uses under policy LE 5? Would the allocations be realistic and deliverable? Is the Plan unsound as a result of the sites' non-allocation for this purpose?

- Should site LE99.32 Ness Tar site Caerphilly be allocated for formal leisure facilities under policy LE 4? Would the allocation be realistic and deliverable? Is the Plan unsound as a result of the site's non-allocation?
- Should site LE99.24 part of land east of Wingfield Works Llanbradach be allocated for leisure (in conjunction with allocation of remainder of site for housing)? Would the allocation be realistic and deliverable? Is the Plan unsound as a result of the site's non-allocation?
- Should the Monmouthshire-Brecon Canal (LE99.2) be protected as informal leisure open space under policy LE 5? Is the Plan unsound as a result of the site's non-allocation for this purpose? Should the proposed allocation replace the canal's designation as a SINC under policy NH3?
- Is the plan unsound as a result of the non-allocation of LE99.1 land rear of Woodville Terrace Argoed under policy LE 4 for the purpose of allotment use? Would the allocation be appropriate, realistic and deliverable?
- Does the non-identification of land at LE99.12 Brooklands Risca for leisure/as a play area under policy LE 5 render the Plan unsound?

4 Tourism allocations (policy TM 1)

- Is the Plan unsound as a consequence of non-allocation of site TM99.1 Islwyn Scout Parc under policy TM 1?
- Is the Plan unsound as a consequence of non-allocation of TM99.4 land south of Westhaven, Watford Road, Caerphilly for tourism use under policy TM 1?

Alwyn Nixon B Sc (Hons), MRTPI - Planning Inspector
Barbara Prosser - Programme Officer